



Student Disciplinary Proceedings Revisited: A Responding Party is Not Entitled to “Quasi-Cross-Examination” in Private School Disciplinary Proceedings

Boston Bar Journal | February 19, 2020

In November 2019, the First Circuit Court of Appeals discussed in *Haidak v. University of Massachusetts-Amherst* the obligations of **public** colleges and universities under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution when conducting student disciplinary proceedings. More recently, in *John Doe v. Trustees of Boston College*, the Court discussed similar principles but in relation to student disciplinary proceedings in **private** university settings. Victoria Fuller discusses the issues presented in *John Doe*, and the Court's decision, in her most recent *Boston Bar Journal* article.

This correspondence should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and legal questions.